Reconstructing the Milky Way Star Formation History from Its White Dwarfs

Nicholas Fantin

CFHT Users Meeting 2019



University of Victoria





White Dwarfs and Stellar Evolution

- White dwarfs are the remnants of stars with $M_i \lesssim 8 M_{\odot}$
- □ This comprises ~98% of all stars

- Their evolution is dominated by a cooling sequence
- Their ubiquitous and long lived nature makes them ideal probes of the evolution of a stellar population



White Dwarfs as Cosmochromometers

- A turn-off in the luminosity function represents the age
- The WDLF also contains information regarding the star formation history
- Our goal is to determine the star formation history of the Milky Way components using white dwarfs
- We do this by modeling the Milky Way's white dwarf population and comparing to observations



Why CFIS-u?



The Data

- We combine CFIS, PS1, and Gaia DR2 to select 29,322 white dwarf candidates
- Thus, we are limited in area by CFIS, and in magnitude by Gaia



The Model

- Modeling the Milky Way requires a few assumptions:
 - We assume a 3-component Milky Way: a halo, a thick disk, and a thin disk
 - □ [Fe/H] = +0.0, -0.7, -1.5 dex
 - Kroupa Initial Mass Function



The Model

- Modeling the Milky Way requires a few assumptions:
 - Pre-white dwarf lifetimes from Hurley et al. (2000)
 - Velocity Ellipsoids from Robin et al. (2014)
 - Initial-to-Final mass relation from Kalirai et al. (2008)



Model Outline



Fitting Routine

We parameterize the star formation history using skewed normal distributions

$$f(x) = rac{2}{\omega} \phi\left(rac{x-\xi}{\omega}
ight) \Phi\left(lpha\left(rac{x-\xi}{\omega}
ight)
ight)$$

We also fit for the He/H-atmosphere ratio

This results in 13 parameters

We employ astroABC, a python based implementation of an Approximate Bayesian MCMC algorithm, to estimate the PDFs

Our Sample, in context

- 96% of our sample is located within 1 kpc, with a median of 388 pc
- It is thus, representative of the Solar neighbourhood



Results: Star Formation History

 Our star formation history turns on at 12.3 ± 0.4 Gyr

 Peaks at 10.3 ± 0.4 Gyr at a rate of 6.5 ± 2.1 M_☉ yr⁻¹

This is followed by a slow decline over the following 9 Gyr



Results

 Our data results in peak SFR of:

Thin Disk: 7.4 ± 0.4 Gyr

Thick Disk: 10.3 ± 0.5 Gyr

Halo: 11.9 ± 1.5 Gyr

The thin/thick disk/halo contribute 77/19/4 % respectively

The He-fraction was found to be 20 ± 3 %



Revisiting our Assumptions

Our parameterized star formation history assumed a unimodal function

The residuals between the model and the data suggest slight variations at 3 and 6 Gyr



A look Ahead: The Halo

Gemini 2019A PI program to measure the age distribution



A look Ahead: Future Surveys

 Ultimately, deeper proper motions will significantly improve this analysis

Upcoming surveys like WFIRST, LSST, and CASTOR have the opportunity to provide unprecedented proper motion catalogs, on the order of 10⁶ white dwarfs



Summary

- We have used photometric data from the Canada-France Imaging Survey, Pan-STARRS DR1, and proper motions from Gaia DR2 to select a sample of 29,323 white dwarfs
- A model was constructed to simulate the Milky Way white dwarf population while simultaneously applying observational constraints
- By parameterizing the star formation history we found the bestfit values for the Milky Way
- Small fluctuations at 3 and 6 Gyr can be seen in the residuals suggesting small variations from our functional forms

